## Exercises for Chapter 8

8.1 A study of the relationship between rough weight $(\mathrm{X})$ and finished weight $(\mathrm{Y})$ of castings was made. A sample of 12 casings was examined and the data presented below:

| X | Y |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rough weight | Finished Weight |
| 3.715 | 3.055 |
| 3.685 | 3.020 |
| 3.680 | 3.050 |
| 3.665 | 3.015 |
| 3.660 | 3.010 |
| 3.655 | 3.015 |
| 3.645 | 3.005 |
| 3.630 | 3.010 |
| 3.625 | 2.990 |
| 3.620 | 3.010 |
| 3.610 | 3.005 |
| 3.595 | 2.985 |

a) Make a scatter plot to see the relationship between X and Y
b) Use the method of least squares to calculate the coefficients in the simple linear regression model $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$
c) Calculate the standard errors of the estimated coefficients and determine if they are significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level
8.2 An equation is to be developed from whichwe can predict the gasoline mileage of an automobile based on its weight and the temperature at the time of operation. The model being estimated is: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{b}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}$
The following data are available:

| Car <br> Number | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> Weight <br> tons | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> Temperature <br> $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ <br> Miles per <br> Gallon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.35 | 90 | 17.9 |
| 2 | 1.90 | 30 | 16.5 |
| 3 | 1.70 | 80 | 16.4 |
| 4 | 1.80 | 40 | 16.8 |
| 5 | 1.30 | 35 | 18.8 |
| 6 | 2.05 | 45 | 15.5 |
| 7 | 1.60 | 50 | 17.5 |
| 8 | 1.80 | 60 | 16.4 |
| 9 | 1.85 | 65 | 15.9 |
| 10 | 1.40 | 30 | 18.3 |

a) Estimate the coefficients in the model using leastsquares. Use Eqn. 8.36.

Note that all spreadsheet programs have functions for matrix multiplication, matrix transposition, and matrix inversion.
Verify your answers using the regression function in a spreadsheet program.
b) Estimate the std. deviation of the "errors", s , by calculating the predicted value (using the coefficients from Part(a)) at each data point, and then calculating the standard deviation of the residuals (the differences between the datapoints and the predictions). How many degrees of freedom does the estimate, s , have?
Verify your answers using the spreadsheet regression function output from Part(a).
c) Calculate the statistical significance of the coefficients, $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{2}$.
d) Calculate the predicted mileage $(\mathrm{mpg})$ at $\mathrm{X}_{1}=1.8$ tons and $\mathrm{X}_{2}=70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Also calculate the error limits on that prediction. The error limits are usually justreported as $\hat{y} \pm 2 \mathrm{~s}_{\hat{\mathrm{Y}}}$ for simplicity.
e) Calculate $R^{2}$ for the model.

Verify your answers using the spreadsheet regression function output from Part(a).
f) Check your model assumptions by using plots of residuals. These should include a half-Normal plot and plots versus $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}$, and Y . Make sure you comment on what you leam/verify from each graph.
8.3 Using the data in Table 3.7 and the method of least squares fit the equation

$$
\log \left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{b}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{b}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{b}_{12} \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{b}_{13} \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{b}_{23} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{b}_{123} \mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}
$$

to the data and verify that the coefficients obtained are identical to those on page 74 .
8.4 In a project on corrosion resistance of steel plates a $10 \%$ solution of hydrochloric acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$ was run over coated steel plates for differenttimes and temperatures and the weightloss measured. The data is recorded below.

|  | Time <br> $\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}\right)$ | Temp <br> $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | Weight Loss <br> Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 160 | 0.00068 |
| 2 | 4 | 160 | 0.00760 |
| 3 | 4 | 160 | 0.00810 |
| 4 | 4 | 180 | 0.00960 |
| 5 | 4 | 180 | 0.00920 |
| 6 | 4 | 180 | 0.00910 |
| 7 | 4 | 200 | 0.01150 |
| 8 | 4 | 200 | 0.01330 |
| 9 | 4 | 200 | 0.01240 |
| 10 | 6 | 160 | 0.00900 |
| 11 | 6 | 160 | 0.02090 |
| 12 | 6 | 160 | 0.03870 |
| 13 | 6 | 180 | 0.01000 |
| 14 | 6 | 180 | 0.01060 |
| 15 | 6 | 180 | 0.07640 |
| 16 | 6 | 200 | 0.01480 |
| 17 | 6 | 200 | 0.03940 |
| 18 | 6 | 200 | 0.01300 |
| 19 | 8 | 160 | 0.00760 |
| 20 | 8 | 160 | 0.00770 |
| 21 | 8 | 160 | 0.00830 |

a) Fit the equation $Y=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}$ to the datausing the method of least squares and determine if any of the coefficients are significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
b) Calculate the predicted values and residuals from the model you fit in a) and plot residuals versus $X_{1}$, residuals versus $X_{2}$, residuals versus predicted values, and a normal or half normal plot of residuals as shown in Section 8.6.
c) Fit the equation $Y=b_{o}+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{11} X_{1}{ }^{2}$ to the data using the method of least squares and determine if any of the coefficients are significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
d) Calculate the residuals and predicted values from the model you fit in c) and make the same plots as in b)
e) Fit the equation $\log _{e}(Y)=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{2}+b_{11} X_{1}^{2}$ to the data using the method of least squares and determine if any of the coefficients are significant at the $95 \%$ confidence level.
f) Calculate the residuals and predicted values from the model you fit in e) and make the same plots as in d)
g) Which model do you prefer and why?
8.5 Since humidity influences evaporation, a knowledge of the relationship will allow a painter, when applying water based paints, to adjusthis/her spray gun to account for humidity. The following data were obtained (based on "Evaporation During Spray out of a Typical WaterReducible Paint at Various Humidities",Jounnal of Coating Technology, Vol 65, 1983):

|  | $\mathbf{X}$ <br> Relative <br> Rumidity | $\mathbf{Y}$ <br> Rolvent <br> Evaporation | Run <br> Run | 35.3 | 11.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Relative |
| :---: |
| Humidity |$\quad$| $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: |
| Solvent |
| Evaporation |

a) Estimate the coefficients in the model, $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$, using least squares.
b) Estimate the std. deviation of the "errors", s , by calculating the predicted value (using the coefficients from Part(a)) at each data point, and then calculating the standard deviation of the residuals (the differences between the data points and the predictions). How many degrees of freedom does the estimate, s , have?
c) Calculate the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the intercept, $\mathrm{a}_{\lambda}$ and the slope, b . Hint: the $95 \%$ confidence intervals are $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \pm \mathbf{t} \mathrm{E}_{\hat{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}} \pm \mathbf{t} \mathbf{E}_{\hat{\mathrm{b}}}$.
d) Calculate error limits on for the predictions of the equation at $\mathrm{X}=25,50$, and 75 . The error limits are usuallyjust reported as $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \pm 2 \mathrm{~s}_{\hat{\mathrm{y}}}$ for simplicity.
8.6 Verify that if Equation $8.41\left(\mathbf{V}(\hat{\mathbf{B}})=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \sigma^{2}\right)$ is used for the case where the model is $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bX}$, the standard deviation of $\hat{\mathrm{b}}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) is the same as given in Eqn8.19.
8.7 You have the following four $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ pairs (data points):
$\mathbf{X} \quad \mathbf{Y}$
53
63

85
95
a) What are the coefficients for the best fitting straight line?
b) What is your estimate of the standard deviation of the data, s?
c) Are the intercept and the slope statistically significant?
8.8 Youpurchase a chemical raw material thathas trace amounts of impurity that is detrimental to your process (namely it poisons the catalyst). You have a specification on the maximum amount of impurity that your supplier is allowed to ship to you, but you do not want to rely on your supplier to only ship you good material. So you testeach batch of raw material when it comes in. The bad news is that the test is expensive. The good news is that you think you found a cheap replacement for the test, namely measuring the absorbence at a specific frequency. Youtook the data below to test your proposed new analytical method.

## $\mathbf{X} \quad \mathbf{Y}$ <br> Absorbence Concentration, ppm

| 0.0335 | 3.91 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0.0489 | 7.81 |
| 0.0571 | 15.63 |
| 0.0488 | 31.25 |
| 0.0827 | 62.5 |
| 0.1662 | 125 |
| 0.3174 | 250 |
| 0.5927 | 500 |
| 0.8877 | 750 |
| 1.1705 | 1000 |

(a) What is the best straight line calibration line based on your data?
(b) Is a straight line an adequate model?
(c) How good is the new method? In other words, what is the error in a prediction(particularly at low concentrations / absorbences)?

